



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,572	10/23/2006	Eric Maziers	F-872 (31223.00098)	1582

25264 7590 04/04/2011  
FINA TECHNOLOGY INC  
PO BOX 674412  
HOUSTON, TX 77267-4412

EXAMINER
----------

LOPEZ, RICARDO E.

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1786

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

04/04/2011

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/553,572	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MAZIERS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> RICARDO E. LOPEZ	<b>Art Unit</b> 1786	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) RICARDO E. LOPEZ.

(2) Larry Tarazan.

**Date of Interview:** 29 March 2011

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*Rejections of record*

Claims discussed:  
*9-12*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*Prior art of record*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** Examiner's Answer to Notice of Appeal

(3) Diane Kilpatrick-Lee.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 11:00

  

/D. Lawrence Tarazano/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1781

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The discussion during interview centered in trying to understand Applicant's terminology in describing metallocene produced polymers comprising long chain branches , in comparison to the disclosure by Lai et al. US 5,278,272 [Col. 3, lines 57-68 and Col. 4, lines 1-10]. In particular the discussion focused on the claimed limitations for the long chain branched polymers.

No agreement was reached during interview .